

LOCAL METEOROLOGICAL SERVICES IN THE MAO AND REFORM-ERA IN CHINA

Jelena Große-Bley



OBSERVATIONS

Nº 8

Short Papers on Science and Society
in China and the World


Dec 21, 2022

This Observations paper reflects upon the changing relationship between local weather, local communities, formal experts, and the party-state in the People's Republic of China (PRC) and how it is thought of differently today than in the 1950s. Changes as such are not surprising in light of the PRC's rapid development over the last decades. Past experiences and practices in rural China that are linked to class struggle and mass mobilization are routinely obscured in current public narratives.¹ Yet, how past historical episodes and their legacies are entwined with ongoing developments is often only implicitly addressed in public, if at all.² This paper examines these questions as they relate to weather observation and forecasting, with a focus on mass meteorology under Mao Zedong and its later portrayal in official party-state histories during the post-Mao period. It begins by looking at the establishment of modern meteorological stations in the PRC and then examines the coverage in the People's Daily (referenced as RMRB) of mass-led weather observation and forecasting in the countryside from the 1950s to the 1970s.

Finally, the paper looks at official histories of meteorology in the post-Mao period, some years after mass meteorology had been abandoned. In doing so, the paper asks: how were party-state institutions connected to the local level in the early stages of setting up meteorological services, and how did they reflect on this experience in the post-Mao period? These then lead to broader questions about “selective silences” on history and how they might shape responses to contemporary challenges such as climate change in the PRC.

Setting up China’s Meteorological System

Official records of weather observation and prediction reach far into China’s dynastic history. In addition, numerous cultural artifacts and living practices in the PRC are a testament to long-standing knowledge around weather and climate variability, particularly in rural communities, where agricultural livelihoods have always depended on intimate familiarity with local ecologies.³ Meteorological stations conducting “scheduled observations at designated stations using standardized instruments,” however, were only established in China in the second half of the nineteenth century.⁴ Yet, the ambition of establishing a nation-wide observation system and public-facing forecasting remained largely unachieved during the first half of the twentieth century. In 1949, the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) had only 101 meteorological stations and around 600 technical staff across its vast new nation. The number of stations across mainland China began increasing rapidly in the 1950s (Fig. 1 & 2), and these efforts were supported by many meteorologists who were formally trained in Republican China or abroad.⁵



Figures 1 & 2: Number of stations by year (1951-2014) and location of ca. 2400 national meteorological stations in the PRC. Analysis and visualization by Cao et al. 2016.⁶ Note that the current meteorological system further includes other kinds of stations, surface observation posts, radar and satellites.

The CCP aimed for its new meteorological system to aid national development. But how the CCP chose to operationalize this system was strongly influenced by political factors that intersected with the many technical, financial, and staffing challenges of the time. Only a few years into setting up the new meteorological system, the Great Leap Forward (1956-1961) and a turn towards mass campaigns throughout the PRC saw the party-state mandate the mobilization of workers and peasants to speed up socialist development. This redefined political imperatives for China’s meteorological services. In 1958, the 3rd National Meteorological Work Meeting announced the adoption of mass mobilization for the national meteorological service network (气象服务网). The China Meteorological Administration (CMA) was at the top of this system, which had meteorological offices and stations down to the county-level. Its mission was to establish “a meteorological office

formally trained or otherwise, and insufficient funding for even basic weather instruments—an issue that even extended into high-level meteorological research.¹¹ At the same time, voicing concern about the new system was difficult and potentially at one's own peril.¹²

By the 1960s, the embrace of the mass mobilization in meteorology appears to have ended. In 1963, for example, all 257 meteorological posts and 30,934 Sky Observation Small Groups in Yunnan Province were dissolved.¹³ In the early 1970s, a few final People's Daily articles reminisced about mass campaigns when praising Mao Zedong, but by 1975 any reference to mass-led organizations in meteorology had entirely disappeared from coverage. It is difficult to trace when, how, and to what extent local meteorological services were reformed or dismantled since coverage of its mass-led mode in the People's Daily dwindled dramatically in the 1960s. The formal, non-mass led side of meteorological observations did continue, however, with many local stations claiming a continuous data record since their establishment.¹⁴ The rise and fall of the mass mobilization and its potentially lingering impact on these stations during the rest of the Mao period and beyond remains a fascinating topic worthy of further inquiry.

Looking Back While Moving Forward

After the Cultural Revolution (1966-1976) and the death of Mao, the party-state under the leadership of Deng Xiaoping was eager to turn a page and pursue rapid modernization to catch up with international standards. As part of this, official party-state historiography in the post-Mao period reflected on mass mobilization experiences in meteorology. In doing so, it notes some of the changing relationships between local weather, local community, formal experts, and the party-state. It is noted that during the early, rapid expansion of the meteorological station network, formally staffed stations and local organizations run by lay people worked as part of the same system, and that they were even authorized to correct or contradict forecasts based on their own judgement of local conditions.

The party-state's clear turn away from endorsing informal knowledge and involving lay people into meteorological service provision is apparent and increasingly pronounced in the post-Mao period. Official histories of meteorology at the national level were published in 1984, 2009, and 2021. In 1984, as part of recent reforms to professionalize the meteorological system, the CMA published a summary of experiences made in meteorology since 1949 (reprinted in *Meteorology Soft Sciences* 2008).¹⁵ The text criticizes sternly, and in great detail, the rushed expansion of the meteorological system and mass campaigns—especially its antagonistic devaluation of professional expertise and technology. Yet, in a separate section, it also warns that “it is also important to discover, summarize and enhance the masses' experiences and the potential of already existing technologies in order to prevent pitting mass experience and modern science and technology against each other.”

In 2009, during the Hu-Wen Administration, the CMA published a 60-year history of meteorology.¹⁶ It briefly mentions the introduction of the meteorological service network in 1958, noting that it aimed to provide meteorological services for agricultural production by “relying on the whole party and the whole people” (依靠全党全民办气象), a slogan from late 50s. Otherwise, there are no references to mass mobilization except perhaps for a short reference to “quick development of meteorological education and training.” In general, the text concludes that the early system had followed “correctly guided thinking and a healthy path of institutional development ... especially

before 1958,” only hinting at the year mass meteorology was introduced. The CMA history also does not engage in any reflection about professional meteorological expertise and technology in relation to local practice, as it did in 1984. The focus is instead on formal institutional development and does not consider activities below the station-level.

A decade later, in 2021, a history of party leadership in meteorology was published for the CCP’s centennial celebrations.¹⁷ The history’s timeline begins in 1945 during the CCP’s Yan’an period. Yet its reverence for the communist past does not extend to the mass campaigns in meteorology. The party’s extension of meteorological services to the countryside in 1957 receives only passing mention and the text’s overview of structural reforms to the meteorological system starts with 1980s reforms. The PRC’s history of meteorology is rendered as one of mainly technological progress and obsolescence of earlier stages:

China’s weather forecasting has developed from hand-drawn weather maps to independent innovation in numerical weather forecasting, from station forecasting to refined intelligent grid forecasting, from traditional uniform weather forecasting to impact forecasting and risk warning for multiple fields. Climate forecasting has changed from empirical statistics to objective quantification. The accuracy, being ahead of time, precision and smartness of weather forecasting and prediction have been significantly improved.

These official post-Mao histories of the meteorological system have increasingly marginalized and ultimately abandoned references to mass meteorology. Even the cautionary tale about mass mobilisation that appeared in 1984 history is no longer told.

Discarded Pasts and Challenging Futures under a Changing Sky

In the twenty-first century, the PRC no longer lacks weather stations, formally trained experts, or instruments to run a sophisticated meteorological system. And rather than integrating laypeople into meteorology, publishing weather forecasts out of line with authorized weather stations was even made illegal in 2015.¹⁸ In principle, the measures monopolize a professional, institutionalized process to communicate authoritative information about potentially sensitive issues to the public. The current practical function of this legislation should not be overstated, however, since references to its enforcement of fines up to 5,000 RMB appear scarce. So far, the measures have mostly triggered discussion amongst amateur meteorology enthusiasts and commentary by bemused netizens who wonder to themselves whether asking a friend to take an umbrella just in case of rain might attract fines.¹⁹ Yet, similar legislation around natural and other disasters has been enforced when a misleading or unauthorized spread of information was considered sensitive by the party-state, such as “rumours” around earthquakes, chemical leakage, smog, or other environmental emergencies.²⁰ Does this mean official histories view mass mobilization merely as a failed attempt to compensate for a lack of technology and that the only useful legacy of the Mao-period system is technology? In reality, non-institutional practices have always informed local understandings of weather and climate variability, preceding, and outliving mass mobilization by the party-state into the official system. Farmers continue to gaze into the sky and draw their own conclusions, though now likely accompanied by information available on a smartphone.

Even if the days of meteorological posts and Sky Observation Small Groups refining station forecasts are long gone, the question of how to best relate meteorological knowledge production to local practices remains relevant. Indeed, as climate change dislodges known weather and agricul-

tural patterns, the impacts are inherently felt locally, and any responses by the meteorological services to address new uncertainties will inevitably have to effectively reach local people. Academic research also emphasizes that even today's technologically sophisticated, professional meteorological system often falls short of addressing local needs, and that improved service provision that takes agricultural management and farmers' needs into account is required.²¹ Modernizing the national meteorological system and improving its service provision remains an ongoing effort, yet past experiments with the PRC's local weather services and its approach to knowledge production are rarely discussed today. Further research could illuminate whether this is a silent yet understood dismissal of experiences associated with failure and dysfunctionality, at least within the CMA, or whether this selective silence has solidified into a forgotten past that might hold at least potential to reflect about today's challenges.

This Observations paper does not aim to romanticize mass mobilization of the past or draw a simple line of progress through the complicated decades of PRC history. Instead, a close reading of contemporary sources alongside official historiography contribute to thinking more broadly about "discarded pasts," that is, experiences dismissed from explicit consideration in discussing current and future approaches to problems.²² Further consideration of how some silences might nevertheless continue to inform today's debates could contribute to understanding more about what drives socioecological transformation under climate change in the PRC today. Understanding the role of such discarded pasts in current thinking about climate change could also be important for conversations outside China about the role of indigenous and local knowledge as potential sources for understanding and adapting to climate change.²³

About the Author

Jelena Große-Bley is a PhD student at the Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Integrative Research Institute on Transformation of Human-Environment Systems (IRI THESys) and a Visiting Predoctoral Fellow in the Lise Meitner Research Group "China in the Global System of Science" at the Max Planck Institute for the History of Science (MPIWG).

More Information

Find the paper online:
<http://doi.org/10.17617/2.3407491>

Read about the series:
<https://www.mpiwg-berlin.mpg.de/LMRG/observations>

References

1. Sigrid Schmalzer, "Layer upon Layer: Mao-Era History and the Construction of China's Agricultural Heritage," *East Asian Science, Technology and Society: An International Journal* 13, no. 3 (2019): 413–41. <https://doi.org/10.1215/18752160-7498416>; Marc Matten, "Forum: Nation: M. Matten: Fighting for the Nation? The Campaign against Historical Nihilism in Contemporary China | H-Soz-Kult. Kommunikation und Fachinformation für die Geschichtswissenschaften | Geschichte im Netz | History in the web," *H-Soz-Kult. Kommunikation und Fachinformation für die Geschichtswissenschaften* (blog) (September 2021). <http://www.hsozkult.de/debate/id/fddebate-132436>; Sigrid Schmalzer, "Yuan Longping, Hybrid Rice, and the Meaning of Science in the Cultural Revolution and Beyond," *Endeavour, Science, Technology, and Medicine in China's Cultural Revolution* 41, no. 3 (2017): 94–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.endeavour.2017.06.006>.
2. Margaret Hillenbrand, *Negative Exposures: Knowing What Not to Know in Contemporary China*. (Sinotheory, Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2020). <https://doi.org/10.1215/9781478009047>.
3. Mark Elvin, "Who Was Responsible for the Weather? Moral Meteorology in Late Imperial China," *Osiris* 13 (2019): 213–37. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/301884>; Marijn Nieuwenhuis, "Knowing the Weather: Heavens and Supercomputers in China," *Scapegoat: Architecture, Landscape, Political Economy* 8 (2015): 132–43. http://www.scapegoatjournal.org/docs/08/Nieuwenhuis_scapegoat-Weather8.pdf; Lena Kaufmann, *Rural-Urban Migration and Agro-Technological Change in Post-Reform China*. (Amsterdam, Netherlands: Amsterdam University Press, 2021) <https://www.aup.nl/en/book/9789463729734/rural-urban-migration-and-agro-technological-change-in-post-reform-china>; "Three Sites in China Designated FAO Globally Important Agricultural Heritage Systems," (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations: Newsroom, 2022). <https://www.fao.org/newsroom/detail/v-three-china-sites-designated-global-agricultural-heritage-systems-200522/en>.
4. Mark E. Frank, "Frontier Atmosphere: Observation and Regret at Chinese Weather Stations in Tibet, 1939–1949," *The British Journal for the History of Science* 54, no. 3 (2021): 361–79. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007087421000285>; He Mengjie, "The 140th Anniversary of the Shanghai Xujiahui Observatory," China Meteorological Administration: News. (September 11, 2012). https://www.cma.gov.cn/en2014/news/Features/201408/t20140813_257259.html.
5. 60 Years New China Meteorological Work, "新中国气象事业60年主要成就和基本经验," China Meteorological Administration (September 25, 2009). http://www.gov.cn/gzdt/2009-09/25/content_1426343.htm; Wang Zuoyue, "Zhu Kezhen Quanji 《竺可桢全集》 (The Complete Works of Coching Chu)," *East Asian Science, Technology and Society: An International Journal* 12, no. 2 (2018): 201–9. <https://doi.org/10.1215/18752160-6578188>.
6. Cao Lijuan, Zhu Yani, Tang Guoli, Fang Yuan, and Yan Zhongwei, "Climatic Warming in China According to a Homogenized Data Set from 2419 Stations," *International Journal of Climatology* 36, no. 13 (2016): 4384–92. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4639>.
7. "妇女看天小组," *People's Daily*, December 28, 1958, 7 edition. <https://cn.govopendata.com/renminribao/1958/12/28/>.
8. "全国组成气象服务网 天气预报准确率提高为农业生产立下大功," *People's Daily*, December 30, 1959, 6 edition. <https://cn.govopendata.com/renminribao/1959/12/30/>.
9. "耕云播雨," n.d, Baidu Baike. <https://baike.baidu.com/item/%E8%80%95%E4%BA%91%E6%92AD%E9%9B%A8/18611505>.
10. Yunnan Kunming Dapu Climate Station, "发掘 '土宝石'," *People's Daily*, December 28, 1958, 7 edition. <https://cn.govopendata.com/renminribao/1958/12/28/>; Ye Chuanhe, "人人歌颂气象哨," *People's Daily*, December 28, 1958, 7 edition. <https://cn.govopendata.com/renminri-bao/1958/12/28/>.
11. Wang Zuoyue and Zhang Jiuchen, "China and the International Geophysical Year," in *Globalizing Polar Science: Reconsidering the International Polar and Geophysical Years*, eds. Roger D. Launius, James Rodger Fleming, and David H. DeVorkin, (Palgrave Studies in the History of Science and Technology. New York: Palgrave Macmillan US, 2010), 143–55. https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230114654_9.
12. Lu Wu, "走群众路线, 搞好气象服务工作," *People's Daily*, September 8, 1959, 3 edition. <https://cn.govopendata.com/renminri-bao/1959/09/08/>; "全国组成气象服务网 天气预报准确率提高为农业生产立下大功," *People's Daily*, December 30, 1959, 6 edition. <https://cn.govopendata.com/renminribao/1959/12/30/>.
13. Yunnan Province Meteorological Administration, "云南省基层气象台站简史," (Beijing: Meteorological Press, 2013); Tu Changwang, "气象事业的新发展," *People's Daily*, December 28, 1958, 7 edition. <https://cn.govopendata.com/renminribao/1958/12/28/>.
14. Cao et al. "Climatic Warming in China According to a Homogenized Data Set from 2419 Stations": 4384–92.
15. China Meteorological Administration, "建国以来气象工作基本经验总结 (1984年1月)" *Meteorology Soft Sciences* 3 (2008): 72–82. <http://47.95.242.172/periodical/info/239093>.
16. "60 Years New China Meteorological Work," CMA (2009).
17. CCP China Meteorological Administration Party Organisation, "党领导新中国气象事业发展的历史经验与启示," *Study Xi to Strengthen the Nation*, Education Platform (2021). <http://news.weather.com.cn/2021/09/3491354.shtml>.
18. China Meteorological Administration, "气象预报发布与传播管理办法," March 12, 2015. <https://www.pkulaw.com/chl/>

c0872175e35606c7bdfb.html?isFromV5=1.

19. “如何看待《气象预报发布与传播管理办法》规定：「天气预报只能官方发布 私人传播最高罚5万」?” Zhihu, (2015). <https://www.zhihu.com/question/30028155?sort=created>.
20. Li Xiaoming, “一网民在抖音平台发布地震谣言被雅安公安依法查处,” Sohu, September 8, 2022. https://www.sohu.com/a/583511410_121106884; Heavy Smog Sparks Online Fears in China,” VOA News, June 12, 2012. <https://www.voanews.com/a/heavy-smog-sparks-online-fears-in-chinese-city/1206304.html>; Lin Xin, “Netizen in China’s Sichuan Jailed Over Tweet About Worst Smog in 2,000 Years,” Translated by Luisetta Mudie. *Radio Free Asia*, February 3, 2017. <https://www.rfa.org/english/news/china/smog-02032017111756.html>; Isabel Hilton “Censorship in times' of emergency,” *Deutsche Welle*, August 18, 2015. <https://www.dw.com/en/chinas-official-response-to-emergencies-is-censorship/a-18656291>.
21. Huang Jikun, Yangjie Wang, and Jinxia Wang, “Farmers’ Adaptation to Extreme Weather Events through Farm Management and Its Impacts on the Mean and Risk of Rice Yield in China,” *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 97, no. 2 (2015): 602–17. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajae/aav005>; Min Shi, Xiaobing Wang, Shaoze Jin, Hermann Waibel, and Jikun Huang, “Climate Change and Farmers’ Perceptions: Impact on Rubber Farming in the Upper Mekong Region,” *Climatic Change* 163, no. 1 (2020): 451–80. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-020-02876-2>.
22. Timothy Moss, “Usable Infrastructure Pasts: Mobilizing History for Urban Technology Futures,” In *Infrastructural Times: Temporality and the Making of Global Urban Worlds*, eds by Jean-Paul Addie, Michael Glass, and Jen Nelles. (Bristol: Bristol University Press, forthcoming).
23. Victoria Reyes-García, Álvaro Fernández-Llamazares, Maximilien Guèze, Ariadna Garcés, Miguel Mallo, Margarita Vila-Gómez, and Marina Vilaseca, “Local Indicators of Climate Change: The Potential Contribution of Local Knowledge to Climate Research,” *WIREs Climate Change* 7, no.1 (2016): 109–24. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.374>; Margot Hurlbert and Jagdish Krishnaswamy, “Risk Management and Decision making in Relation to Sustainable Development,” in: *Climate Change and Land: An IPCC Special Report on Climate Change, Desertification, Land Degradation, Sustainable Land Management, Food Security, and Greenhouse Gas Fluxes in Terrestrial Ecosystems*, eds. Priyadarshi.R. Shukla et al, (In press, 2019) . <https://www.ipcc.ch/srccl/cite-report/>. Further reading: He Bian, “Science and Really Existing Socialism in Maoist China,” *Historical Studies in the Natural Sciences* 52, no. 2 (2022): 265–75. <https://doi.org/10.1525/hsns.2022.52.2.265>; Phaedra Daipha, *Masters of Uncertainty: Weather Forecasters and the Quest for Ground Truth*, (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2015). <https://press.uchicago.edu/ucp/books/book/chicago/M/bo21386508.html>.